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Introduction: Abnormal brain structure and function have been reported in individuals with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). This study investigated the parietal lobe structure and function alteration in individuals with ADHD.

Methods: In this systematic review, we searched English papers in accordance with the PRISMA (the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses) approach. Studies were published between January 2010 and May 2021. Our search was conducted in two parts. Our first search was in July 2020, and our final search was in June 2021. A literature search identified 20 empirical experiments.

Results: Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies generally reported low activity and poor connectivity; structural MRI studies reported less gray matter in this lobe, and an echo study reported atrophy. In addition, electroencephalographic studies reported less connectivity of the parietal lobes in ADHD. Furthermore, the transcranial direct current stimulation intervention has shown that activation of this lobe improves attention and executive functions in children with ADHD. Finally, a deep transcranial magnetic stimulation study has demonstrated that activation of this lobe improves working memory.

Conclusion: Functional and structural alteration of the parietal cortex has been reported in ADHD, which has a causal relationship with cognitive impairments. In sum, all included studies reported abnormal structure, function, or connectivity of the parietal lobe or improvement of cognitive functions with parietal lobe stimulation.
1. Introduction

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) as a neurodevelopmental disorder is characterized by two main symptoms: Hyperactivity-impulsivity and attention deficit (American Psychiatric Association (APA), 2013) One well-documented theory to explain the behavioral symptoms in children with ADHD is the dysexecutive function theory (Barkley, 2020). Individuals with ADHD experience a variety of dysexecutive functions, such as the inability to start, focus, persist, and shift attention, keep constant effort and alertness, cope and regulate emotions, remember to-be-learned material, and finally regulate their actions (Brown, 2009; Rodriguez et al., 2021; Staff et al., 2021).

Executive functions (EFs), as an umbrella term, cover several domains such as working memory, cognitive flexibility, and inhibitory control (Burgess & Simons, 2005; Espy, 2004; Lehto et al., 2003; Miller & Cohen, 2001). Impaired EFs is a non-specific syndrome which has been identified in numerous psychiatric disorders, including autism spectrum disorder (Shiri et al., 2015), addiction (Baler & Volkow, 2006), conduct disorder (Fairchild et al., 2009), depression (Ajilchi & Nejati, 2017; Tavares et al., 2007), obsessive-compulsive disorder (Penades et al., 2007), and schizophrenia (Barch, 2005). Furthermore, some non-executive functions are impaired in individuals with ADHD, such as emotional processing (Borhani & Nejati, 2018), reward processing (Nejati et al., 2020), and spatial processing (Jung et al. 2014; Soluki et al., 2020). Furthermore, in the study of the neural correlates of ADHD, the majority of neuroimaging studies stressed the prefrontal cortex as the area of interest (Hesslinger et al., 2002; Pironti et al., 2014; Samea et al., 2019; Schulz et al., 2017; Seidman et al., 2006; Wolf et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2020).

Besides the prefrontal cortex and EFs, the parietal cortex and the respective perceptual functions play a crucial role in the psychopathology of ADHD (Dunn & Kronenberger, 2013; Schulz et al., 2017; Silk et al., 2008; Vance et al., 2007). Individuals with ADHD experience some impairments in spatial perception, spatial working memory, visual recognition, and spatial reaction time (Banaschewski et al., 2006; Rhodes et al., 2004; Coghill & Matthews, 2005). Given Posner’s attentional network, individuals with ADHD are impaired in all attentional networks, including alerting (Oberlin et al., 2005), executive (Oberlin et al., 2005), and orienting (Collings & Kwasman, 2006). Sensory processing and integration problems, as another function of the parietal cortex, are impaired in individuals with ADHD (Ghanizadeh, 2011; Mulligan, 1996). Abnormal perceptual function leads to hypersensitivity or hyposensitivity of some modalities in individuals with ADHD with respect to the deficient perceptual functions in individuals with ADHD, which fundamentally involves the parietal lobes (Kamath et al., 2020). Thus, we aimed to review abnormal structure and function of the parietal lobe in individuals with ADHD.

2. Materials and Methods

The present study was performed according to the guidelines of systematic review studies of PRISMA (the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses) (Moher et al., 2009).

Search strategy

The required information was provided by reviewing scientific databases, including Web of Science, Science Direct, Springer, PubMed, and Google Scholar. Studies published in English between January 2010 and May 2021 were reviewed. We searched for resources once in July 2020 and again in June 2021.
Keywords

We searched using the following keywords: Attention deficit disorder (ADD), hyperactivity, attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), parietal (lobe), posterior parietal cortex (PPC), inferior parietal lobe (IPL), superior parietal lobe (SPL), angular gyrus (AG), and supramarginal gyrus (SMG).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Articles available in full text in English at the desired period were entered in the present review.

Data extraction

To check the relevance of the articles searched for in the present study, first the titles, then the abstracts, and finally, the authors checked the whole text. The authors agreed on which article would remain or be removed. A total of 79 articles were initially selected, and finally, 20 remained (Figure 1). After extracting the required information, the results were summarized in Tables 1 and 2 and then analyzed.

3. Results

Twenty studies were included in the review, which examined 1207 participants, including 746 participants with ADHD and 461 healthy controls. The mean participant age range was 4.92 to 40.26 years old. Notably, the broad age range of participants could be explained by the diagnosis of ADHD from childhood to adulthood. Sample sizes ranged from 17 to 188. In what follows, we summarized the results of the included studies based on methods.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies

In individuals with ADHD, desynchronization of the PPC, the precuneus, and the SPL has been reported during irrelevant speech or music in the background of a film (Salmi et al., 2020). Resting-state fMRI indicates a lower degree of centrality in the default mode network (DMN) in children with ADHD (Jiang et al., 2019). This study suggests a decentralized organization (line-like topology) in the frontoparietal attention network in children with ADHD to contrast the more centralized organization (star-like topology) in typically developing (TD) children. Another fMRI study found that drug-naive individuals with ADHD show more activation of the bilateral inferior parietal lobe during inhibition, measured by stop-signal task, and less activation in the left parietal cortex during shifting attention, measured by switch task (Berberat et al., 2021). Kolodney et al. (2020) found that during an inhibitory control task (go/no-go), in individuals with ADHD with lower symptoms, the cooperation of the intraparietal sulcus (IPS) and the right inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) is increased. Whereas in individuals with ADHD with severe symptoms, no alteration in the activity of the parietal cortex is observed.

Also, when adults with previous ADHD express facial emotion (including happy and fearful expressions), the activity of the left IPL increases during fearful facial expressions. Besides, during visual exposure to happy faces, higher functional connectivity is detectable among the posterior cingulate cortex, right ventral frontal cortex, right dorsal parietal cortex (DPC), and left temporo-parietal junction (TPJ) in individuals with former ADHD (Lindholm et al., 2019).

Bachman et al. (2018) found increased brain activation on the bilateral IPL, the right posterior insula, and the right precuneus in individuals with ADHD during performing N-back tasks, which was associated with lower scores reported in inattention and memory problems. Schulz et al. (2017) reported lower activation of the right orbitofrontal cortex, the inferior frontal cortex, and the parietal lobe in individuals with ADHD during stimulus and response conflict task. Another resting-state fMRI study found children with ADHD, compared with TD peers, showed weaker connectivity between the right anterior prefrontal cortex (PFC) and the right ventrolateral PFC and between the left anterior PFC and the right IPL during continuous performance and spatial span tests. This finding was associated with symptoms of impulsivity, opposition defiance, impaired response inhibition, and attentional control (Lin et al., 2015). Treatment with atomoxetine (ATMX) was associated with increased fMRI activation of the parietal cortex, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), and the cerebellum when completing a multi-source interference task (MSIT) in individuals with ADHD (Bush et al., 2013). Also, fMRI with a rapid event-related design in adult ADHD showed less activation in the frontal, supplementary, and parietal eye fields during the antisaccade task when preparing to execute it (Schwerdtfeger et al., 2013). Furthermore, using fMRI during phonological and visual-spatial n-back tasks has shown activation of the frontoparietal network for working memory tasks in adults with and without ADHD. It also exhibits that the intensity of the activation is more remarkable in individuals with ADHD. The control group has exhibited increased brain activation over the frontoparietal network in response to increased...
phonological working memory load. However, individuals with ADHD have shown a greater decrease in brain activation over the left frontoparietal network (Ko et al., 2013). Also, drug-naïve ADHD children show decreased activation of the IPL and bilateral occipital, caudate nucleus, cerebellum, and functionally connected brainstem nuclei (Massat et al., 2012). During CPT, reduced activity has also been observed in the caudate nuclei, the anterior cingulate cortex, the parietal cortical structures, the right IPL, and the left SPL in individuals with ADHD. Less activation of the left SPL is associated with impulsivity and hyperactivity, and both right IPL and left SPL have a relationship with inattention symptoms in individuals with ADHD (Schneider et al., 2010). In general, fMRI studies described an alteration in the structure and function of the parietal lobe in individuals with ADHD, which indicates lower performance of the parietal lobe in this group.

Structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) study

An sMRI study reported lower global and local grey matter volumes within clusters in the bilateral frontal, right parietal, and right temporal regions in individuals with ADHD compared to TD (Vilgis et al., 2016).

Magnetization prepared rapid gradient recalled echo (MPRAGE) image study

An MPRAGE study described a reduction of cortical volume in the frontal, parietal, and temporal cortices visible in young children with ADHD (Jacobson et al., 2018).

Electroencephalogram (EEG) study

Individuals with ADHD have manifested significantly less parietal theta rhythm and event-related (de) syn-
chronization (ERS) during inhibition and response trials during visual continuous performance test. As well as they had an increase in parietal α rhythm and ERS during inhibition and action. Furthermore, lower frontoparietal connectivity has been described in individuals with ADHD (Cowley et al., 2020). Another ERP study described less connectivity among temporal, frontal, and parietal cortices during an oddball task in individuals with ADHD (Chen et al., 2021).

Anodal tDCS over the right PPC improves attentional functioning in attention networks test (ANT), specifically in an orienting domain. Furthermore, activation of the right PPC has a destructive effect on the top-down attentional control required for selective attention measured by the Stroop test. Also, activation of the right PPC does not affect shifting attention, measured by the shifting attention test, and response inhibition, measured by the go/no-go test, which means that activation of the right PPC can improve bottom-up attentional control (Salehinejad et al., 2020).

Table 1. Main characteristics of fMRI studies investigating the role of the parietal lobe in ADHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (Year)</th>
<th>Group: No. (Mean±SD Age, Range in Year); Gender (M/F)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salmi et al., (2020)</td>
<td>ADHD: 51 (31±9, 19–56); 24/27; TD: 29 (33±8, 19–50); 12/17</td>
<td>Intersubject correlations in the parietal cortex were weaker during irrelevant speech or music in a cocktail-party condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et al. (2019)</td>
<td>ADHD: 30 (9.2±1.7, 7–13); 14/16; TD: 51 (9.7±1.8, 7–13); 15/18</td>
<td>Decreased degree of centrality values in the RIPL gyrus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. (2019)</td>
<td>ADHD: 119 (10.2±1.8, 7–14); 80/30; TD: 69 (10.±21.9, 7–14); 33/36</td>
<td>More decentralized organization in the FPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berberat et al. (2021)</td>
<td>MED-ADHD: 15 (38±8, 21–58); 3/12; None-MED-ADHD: 15 (34±8, 29–56); 8/7; TD: 15 (38±12, 22–55); 3/12</td>
<td>More activation on BIPL during the Stroop task and less activation in the LPL during the switch task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolodny et al. (2020)</td>
<td>ADHD: 37 (26.6±4.0, 19–34); 15/22</td>
<td>Increases FP connectivity during go/no-go task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindholm et al. (2019)</td>
<td>ADHD: 23 (22.7±1.0, 16-18); 17/6; TD: 29 (22.9±0.9, 16-18); 23/6</td>
<td>Increased activity in LIPL while viewing a fearful face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachmann et al. (2018)</td>
<td>ADHD-MAP: 21 (40.10±15.8, 18-65); 8/13; ADHD-PE: 19 (40.26±13.81, 18-65); 10/9</td>
<td>Higher activation of the BIPL and right precuneus during working memory task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schulz et al. (2017)</td>
<td>ADHD:27 (24.±1±9.9); 24/3; TD: 28 (24.6±2); 24/4</td>
<td>Lower activation of PL during cognitive control tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al. (2015)</td>
<td>ADHD: 39 (9.4±1.77, 7-14); 34/5; TD: 31 (10.0±2.13, 7-14); 25/6</td>
<td>Weaker connectivity between the LAPFC and the RIPL lobe during a continuous performance task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush et al. (2013)</td>
<td>ADHD-ATMX:11; ADHD-MFD:11; ADHD-P:10</td>
<td>Treatment by ATMX was associated with increased activation of PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwerdtfeger et al. (2013)</td>
<td>ADHD: 14 (29.5±9.4); TD: 14 (29.5±9.4)</td>
<td>Less activation in the PEF during the antisaccade task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ko et al. (2013)</td>
<td>ADHD: 20 (25.3±2.06); 20/0; TD: 20 (26.30±1.84); 20/0</td>
<td>Decreased brain activation over the left precuneus and greater decrease in brain activation over the LFPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massat et al. (2012)</td>
<td>ADHD: 19 (10.75±1.31, 8-12); 9/10; TD: 14 (10.05±1.28, 8-12); 8/6</td>
<td>Decreased activity in BIPL during the N-back test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneider et al. (2010)</td>
<td>ADHD-combined: 11 (32.6±9.4, 19-48); 6/5; ADHD-remmitted: 8 (32.4±8.3, 18-45); 7/1; TD:17 (29.4±8.6, 18-45); 10/7</td>
<td>Reduced activity in the PL during the continuous performance test</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ADHD: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; fMRI: Functional magnetic resonance imaging; TD: Typically developing; MED: Medication; MAP: Mindfulness awareness practice; PE: Versus psychoeducation; BSPL: Bilateral superior parietal lobule; LIPS: Left intraparietal sulcus; RIPL: Right inferior parietal lobe; FPA: Frontoparietal attention network; BIPL: Bilateral inferior parietal lobe; LPL: Left parietal lobe; FP: Frontoparietal; LIPL: Left inferior parietal lobe; PL: Parietal lobe; LAPFC: Left anterior prefrontal cortex; ATMX: Atomoxetine; PEF: Parietal eye fields; LFPN: Left frontoparietal network.
Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation (dTMS) study

Individuals with ADHD under treatment by dTMS have improved the n-back task by increasing activation on the right parietal cortex and other areas, measured by fMRI (Bleich-Cohen et al., 2021).

4. Discussion

The present study aimed to review the role of the parietal cortex in the psychopathology of ADHD. The results of the reviewed studies with different methods, 14 fMRI studies, 1 sMRI study, 1 MPRAGE study, 2 EEG studies, 1 tDCS study, and 1 tDMS study, identified abnormal structure and function in the parietal lobe in individuals with ADHD. In the following section, the abnormal structure and function of the parietal lobes are discussed in detail.

The right parietal lobe

In the included studies, a resting-state fMRI study reported decreased centrality in the right IPL (Jiang et al., 2019). The reduced activity of the right IPL has been found during continuous performance tests (Lin et al., 2015). The right IPL, as a part of the default mode network (DMN), is impaired in individuals with ADHD (Jiang et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2015). The deficient DMN is associated with a wandering mind, which drowns individuals with ADHD in daily dreams. Furthermore, mind wandering could be considered a cognitive underpinning for ADHD symptoms (Lanier et al., 2019). Furthermore, the right IPL is a part of posterior attention networks which subserves impaired alerting and orienting attention in individuals with ADHD (Bush, 2010, 2011; Corbetta et al., 2008; Schneider et al., 2010). Moreover, memory and inattention problems are associated with hypo-activation in the right precuneus and parietal lobe (Bachmann et al., 2018; Bleich-Cohen et al., 2021). Although correlational evidence from imaging studies has found an association between hyperactivation of the right parietal cortex and executive control (Schulz et al., 2017), the causal evidence from stimulation studies does not confirm this association (Salehinejad et al., 2020).

The left parietal lobe

The activity of left IPL decreases during cocktail-party conditions (Salmi et al., 2020) and switching tasks (Berberat et al., 2021) but increases during fearful face mimicry (Lindholm et al., 2019). The left parietal region is not only involved in the obvious symptoms of ADHD, such as inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity but

Table 2. Main characteristics of sMRI, MPRAGE, EEG, tDCS, and TMS studies investigating the role of the parietal lobe in ADHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (Year)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Group: No. (Mean±SD Age, Range in Year); Gender (M/F)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vilgis et al. (2016)</td>
<td>sMRI</td>
<td>ADHD: 48(12.58±2.21, 8-17.5); 48/0; TD: 31(12.75±1.96, 8-17.5); 31/0</td>
<td>Lower global and local grey matter volumes of RPL in ADHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobson et al. (2018)</td>
<td>MPRAGE</td>
<td>ADHD: 52(5.01±0.58); 4-5; TD: 38(4.92±0.58); 4-5</td>
<td>Widespread cortical volume reductions in the PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowley et al. (2020)</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>ADHD: 53(36.2±10.2, 18-60); 25/28; TD: 18(32.7±10.82, 18-60); 25/28</td>
<td>Less PL theta rhythm and more α rhythm ERS during inhibition and response and less FP connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. (2021)</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>ADHD: 40 (7.65±2.11); 35/5; TD: 31(7.68±2.36); 20/11</td>
<td>Less TFP connectivity during an oddball task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salehinejad et al. (2020)</td>
<td>tDCS</td>
<td>ADHD: 17 (9.5±1.5); 12/5</td>
<td>Activation of the R PPC had a destructive effect on the TDAC &amp; does not affect shifting attention and response inhibition and improves BUAC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleich-Cohen et al. (2021)</td>
<td>dTMS &amp; fMRI</td>
<td>ADHD-rPFC: 24 (35.6±8.7, 18-60); 17/7; ADHD-lPFC: 22 (35.1±10.1, 18-60); 15/7; Sham: 16(34.7±9.2, 18-60), 8/8</td>
<td>Activation of the RPL and other areas improve WM.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ADHD: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; TD: Typically developing; PL: Parietal lobe; FP: Frontoparietal; TFP: Temporal and frontal and parietal; RPPC: Right posterior parietal cortex; RPL: Right parietal lobe; TDAC: Top-down attentional control; BUAC: Bottom-up attentional control; WM: Working memory; sMRI: Structural magnetic resonance imaging; MPRAGE: Magnetization prepared rapid gradient recalled echo; EEG: Electroencephalograms; tDCS: Transcranial direct current stimulation; TMS: Transcranial magnetic stimulation; rPFC: Right prefrontal cortex; IPFC: Left prefrontal cortex.
also working memory and emotional perception, such as fear and joy. Less activation of the left SPL has a relationship with impulsivity, hyperactivity, and inattention in individuals with ADHD, and previous studies have reported the role of this part in shifting attention (Berberat et al., 2021; Schneider et al., 2010). Given the impaired social cognition in individuals with ADHD (Bora & Pan telis, 2016), increasing the activity of the left IPL associated with the left TPJ improves impaired social cognition (Lindholm et al., 2019). Also, impaired working memory in children with ADHD can be attributed to the hypoactivity of the left parietal lobe (Ko et al., 2013). Thus, this lobe seems to play a crucial role in emotional processing, while the right parietal lobe has a more prominent role in processing basic emotional states. The function of this lobe seems to be more general than that of the right parietal lobe, indicating the higher specialization of the right parietal lobe versus the left parietal lobe.

**Bilateral and central parietal lobe**

In the included studies, fMRI results reported a reduction in the bilateral parietal lobe during the cocktail-party condition (Salmi et al., 2020), cognitive control task (Schulz et al., 2017), and continuous performance test (Schneider et al., 2010). This finding was confirmed by MPRAGE, which supported a reduction in the volume of the parietal lobes (Jacobson et al., 2018). Furthermore, EEG showed decreased parietal lobes activity (Cowley et al., 2020). Finally, interventional studies confirm these findings. In children with ADHD, atomoxetine increases the activity of the parietal lobe (Bush et al., 2013), andodal tDCS improves working memory performance (Salehinejad et al., 2020), and dTMS increases the activity of the right parietal lobe and improves working memory performance (Bleich-Cohen et al., 2021). Lower connectivity of the IPL and the PPC is associated with ADHD symptoms, which are associated with weakness in the control of irrelevant auditory and visual stimuli and inattention symptoms (Chen et al., 2021; Kolodny et al., 2020; Salmi et al., 2020; Schneider et al., 2010; Schwedtfege et al., 2013).

Based on EEG results, less theta rhythm and more α rhythm are shown during the control of irrelevant visual stimuli (Bush et al., 2013; Cowley et al., 2020). However, boosting the right PPC through tDCS did not alter cognitive control (Salehinejad et al., 2020), so it is likely that increased right parietal cortex activity depends on increased left parietal cortex activity during irrelevant stimulus inhibition, indicating the role of bilateral parietal lobes in cognitive control (Berberat et al., 2021). Also, memory problems are associated with less activation of the bilateral IPL (Bachmann et al., 2018).

Along with reduced interaction and activity, a decline in the cortical volume of the parietal lobe is obvious in individuals with ADHD (Jacobson et al., 2018; Massat et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2019). With respect to these results, increasing the activity of the parietal lobes and training of respective functions should be taken into account in the rehabilitation of ADHD.

**5. Conclusion**

According to the reviewed studies, there is an association between abnormal parietal structure and function and cognitive dysfunction in individuals with ADHD. The impaired structure and function of the parietal lobe could be followed in this cortex’s activity, size, connections, and processes. A variety of cognitive domains, including attention, working memory, inhibitory control, social cognition, and inner thinking, are controlled by the parietal cortex, which is impaired in individuals with ADHD. Functional and structural alteration of the parietal cortex has been described in ADHD, which has a causal relationship with cognitive impairments. All included studies reported abnormal parietal lobe structural, functional, or connectivity or improvement of cognitive functions with parietal lobe stimulation. Some limitations should be taken into account in the present study. The heterogeneity of the tasks used in the included studies and the variety of methods did not allow us to perform a meta-analysis.
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