Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript (Uncorrected Proof)

Title: Exploring Emotion Recognition Patterns Among Iranian People Using CANTAB as an Approved Neuro-Psychological Assessment

Authors: Soroush Lohrasbi¹, Ali Reza Moradi^{2*}, Meysam Sadeghi³

1. Shahid Beheshti University and Institute for Cognitive Science Studies (ICSS), Tehran, Iran.
2. Kharazmi University and Institute for Cognitive Sciences Studies (ICSS), Tehran, Iran.
3. Institute for Cognitive Sciences Studies (ICSS), Tehran, Iran.

***Corresponding author:** Ali Reza Moradi, Kharazmi University and Institute for Cognitive Sciences Studies, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: Moradi@khu.ac.ir

To appear in: *Basic and Clinical Neuroscience*

Received date: 2021/08/15

Revised date: 2021/11/07

Accepted date: 2021/08/22

This is a “Just Accepted” manuscript, which has been examined by the peer-review process and has been accepted for publication. A “Just Accepted” manuscript is published online shortly after its acceptance, which is prior to technical editing and formatting and author proofing. *Basic and Clinical Neuroscience* provides “Just Accepted” as an optional and free service which allows authors to make their results available to the research community as soon as possible after acceptance. After a manuscript has been technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as a published article. Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which may affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Please cite this article as:

Lohrasbi, S., Moradi, A. R., Sadeghi, M. (In Press). Exploring Emotion Recognition Patterns Among Iranian People Using CANTAB as an Approved Neuro-Psychological Assessment. *Basic and Clinical Neuroscience*. Just Accepted publication Nov. 14, 2022. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.32598/bcn.2022.3607.1>

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.32598/bcn.2022.3607.1>

Abstract

Background: Emotion Recognition is the main component of social cognition and has various patterns in different cultures and nationalities. The present study aimed to investigate emotion recognition patterns among Iranians using the Cambridge Neuro-Psychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB) as a valid neuropsychological test.

Methods: In this descriptive-analytical study, 117 males and females ($M_{age} = 32.1$, $SD = 6.4$) were initially assessed by computerised intelligence and progressive matrices of RAVEN-2. Furthermore, the excitement recognition subtest taken from the Cambridge Neuro-Psychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB) was performed. The correct response of participants to each of the six basic emotions as well as the recognition time was used for analysis.

Results: The maximum correct responses rate was 75.83% related to happy emotion. The correct responses for sadness, surprise, disgust, anger and fear were 70%, 68.48%, 47.84%, 42.54% and 38.26%, respectively. Moreover, the shortest recognition time was related to disgust with 322ms, while sadness with mean response time 1800ms and fear response time with 1529ms indicated the longest recognition time. In addition, participants recognised happiness with mean response time in 1264ms better than other emotions; however, post-hoc t-test analyses showed that only the correct responses for sadness and surprised emotions did not differ significantly, ($t(112) = -.59$, $p = .55$, $d = .05$). These results suggested that different emotions have various correct responses. However, sadness and surprised did not differ.

Conclusions: The findings of this study could be beneficial for evaluating cognitive elements, as well as cognitive abilities and inabilities among the Iranian population. Moreover, the findings could be used for investigating social cognition in this population.

Keywords: Social Cognition, Neuropsychological Assessment, CANTAB, Emotion Recognition, RAVEN-2, Computer-Based Neuropsychological Assessment (CBNA)

Introduction

Production, perception, interpretation, and response to emotional signals are influential parts of humans and their interpersonal life. Therefore, emotions play a significant role in human communication. Recognizing emotion using facial expressions is a key element in human communication (Varghese, Cherian, & Kizhakkethottam, 2015). These actions affect distant goals, such as survival and reproduction in humans and other species (Preston & De Waal, 2002). As a result, there are considerable advantages for people to recognise their own feelings and those of others accurately. These benefits could be considered as a potential cultural advantage in identifying emotions, even when individuals are members of the same group or community (Anderson & Keltner, 2002), and could suggest a possible cultural benefit of emotion recognition (Wickline, Bailey, & Nowicki, 2009).

Each emotion is identified by a set of patterns of evaluation factors. It is believed that cognition is the prerequisite for emotion, and emotion is known as the product of a cognitive evaluation process (Izard, 2009). Significantly, regulating the relationship between emotion and cognition can help increase healthy functioning, while an imbalance between these two components might lead to emotional or cognitive disorders and difficulties such as anxiety or depression (Ebner & Johnson, 2009).

Studies show that the amygdala can respond to positively and negatively valenced stimuli (Hamann, Ely, Hoffman, & Kilts, 2002), as long as they are high in arousal (Cunningham et al., 2004). Specifically, studies show that the human amygdala robustly activates fear faces (Chiao et al., 2008).

The ability to comprehend and recognise others' emotional states is difficult to attain due to the complexity of the process. A person cannot be simply aware of what is going on in others' minds. To understand others' emotional states, the individual needs to pay attention to people's facial expressions and gestures when emotions occur (Juckel et al., 2018). Physical signs and symptoms can include changes in facial expressions, facial discolouration, as well as alterations in the position of facial components relative to each other, such as raised eyebrows or changes in the position of the lips and the mouth that can be seen and understood (Petersen & Higham, 2020). Understanding of facial emotion or cognition of emotion could play a significant role in social interactions (Elliott & Jacobs, 2013). However, the role of culture should not be ignored. Different studies have evaluated the cultural differences in recognising others' emotions (Jack et al., 2012;

Biehl et al., 1997). For example, a study of Asians and Caucasians found that Caucasians were much more accurate at recognising anger, sadness, and fear (Biehl et al., 1997). On the other hand, another study examined the credibility of a Japanese face database among Japan, the United States, Poland, Vietnam, Hungary, and Sumatra. It showed that recognising a face's emotion is a universal thing, and people in all of these countries can correctly identify the emotion in the provided images (Jack et al., 2012).

Given the above, emotion recognition could be an appropriate and international test for examining social cognition. Considering that the ability to recognise emotion according to the culture and nationality of individuals can be specific, the existence of a normal range of data on the ability of individuals in society to recognise emotion could be a great help in distinguishing between mental disorder or psychological characteristics (Lim, 2016). Moreover, the existence of specific cutting points for each community or ethnic group is necessary to predict the psychological-emotional characteristics of that community or ethnic group. On the other hand, the boundary of disorder and psychological factors of that nation could be determined. In addition, changing cutting points can predict emotional bias in a country, which is essential for mental health decisions. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate emotion recognition patterns among Iranians using CANTAB as a valid computer-based neuropsychological test.

Method

Participants

Participants were recruited randomly by posting flyers. All interested individuals were screened for eligibility via the Raven-2 Progressive Matrices to ensure that their overall intelligence was in the latter range of normal (110-90) before participation. Participants included 117 males and females ($M_{age} = 32.1$, $SD = 6.4$). The minimum educational degree of all participants was a high school diploma. Moreover, all participants were employed and worked full-time or part-time. All the participants in this study were assessed by the emotion recognition task. Exclusion criteria were intelligence quotient (IQ) below normal by RAVEN-2 test, and if in the demographic form we find usage of psychiatric drugs, history of psychiatric disorders and any type of movement disorder or disability that could affect the reaction time of examiner. The movement ability has been assessed by occupational therapist.

Materials

The Emotion Recognition Task (ERT): The Emotion Recognition Task (ERT) as part of the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB; Robbins et al., 1994) is a computer-generated paradigm for the recognition of six basic facial emotional expressions including happiness, sadness, anger, disgust, fear, and surprise. The emotions (15 stimuli for each emotion with different levels of intensity) are mimicked by actors and presented randomly in two blocks (90 stimuli each). After each stimulus presentation (200ms), the participant were asked to choose between the six emotional expressions displayed in labels on the screen. The task provided a percentage of correctness for each emotion and an overall mean of response latency. The non-verbal and culture-free nature of the CANTAB also reduces any potential variance introduced by language and cultural translations of stimuli in word-based tests (Barnett, Blackwell, Sahakian, & Robbins, 2015).

The Raven-2 Visual Intelligence Test: This test is used to determine the normal data appropriate to individuals' intelligence according to the validity and footprint and independent of culture and language. The test has been recognised as a suitable test for research and evaluating and screening people's intelligence (Raven, 2000). The previous research shows that the Raven's progressive matrices test is a reliable and valid intelligence test for mental testing and general intelligence for Iranian under graduate students. The Raven's progressive matrices test has significant reliability and validity ($P < 0.01$) for measuring intelligence of undergraduates (Rahmani, 2008).

Procedure

Ethics approval was obtained from the Kharazmi University (Tehran, Iran) Institutional Review Board (IR.KHU.REC.1398.037). Detailed and complete explanations about the study aims as well as the confidentiality of their identity were provided. A written consent form was taken from all the participants. The participants were seated in a quiet room in front of a touch-screen computer. The computer was about 30 cm away from the subjects and there was nothing between the subject and the screen. Participants were instructed to observe a person's face in the middle of the screen, and after displaying the face, they had to choose one of the six emotional states (disgust, sadness, happiness, surprise, anger, and fear) that were displayed. The examiner

was also present in the test room at an angle that was not in the subject's view. The whole test was performed automatically for each person and the results were saved. In this study, an Asus Laptop computer - TP510U was used. The laptop has a 15-inch touch screen, and according to the test standards, the tab could be rotated 360 degrees so that the keyboard was not available to the subject, and a wireless keyboard was used next to it.

Statistical analysis

A one-way ANOVA was used to determine whether there are any statistically significant differences between the means of correct responses and time to recognise emotional states. Eta squared effect size was calculated and reported for ANOVA analysis. Eta squared effect sizes were calculated and reported for ANOVA analyses, with 0.01 considered small, 0.06 considered medium and 0.14 considered large (Cohen, 1988). Post-hoc *t*-tests and Cohen *d* effect sizes were calculated, with 0.20 considered small, 0.50 considered moderated, and 0.80 considered large (Cohen, 1988). It should be noted that data analysis was performed with SPSS- 24.

Results

Table 1 presents the mean and standard deviation of the percentage of the correct responses and time to recognise emotional states are reported.

Table 1- Descriptive indicators of the correct response and the response time

Emotions	N	Mean±SD of the correct response (%)	Mean±SD of response time for correct recognition (ms)
Disgust	117	50.77±22.88	322.22±755.92
Sadness	117	71.59±16.54	1800.24±937.26
Happiness	117	78.37±11.47	1263.67±498.66
Surprise	117	71.93±12.32	965.52±326.81
Anger	117	44.55±13.71	1186.85±439.23
Fear	117	36.60±22.70	1529.31±1077.45

Correct Responses

Means and standard deviations for correct responses are presented in Figure 1. The one-way within subjects ANOVA revealed a significant difference in correct responses related to various emotional states $F(5,580) = 162.09, p = .001, \eta^2 = .57$.

Table 2- The one-way within subjects ANOVA for correct responses

Source	SS	DF	MS	F	Sig	η^2
Within subjects	173057.99	5	34611.59	152.28	.001	.57
Error	131821.66	580	227.279			

Further post-hoc t-test analyses showed that only the correct responses for sadness and surprised emotions did not differ significantly, $t(112) = -.59, p = .55, d = .05$. These results suggested that different emotions have various correct responses. However, sadness and surprised did not differ.

Figure 1- Adjusted means of the correct response to the six emotional states

Recognition Time

Means and standard deviations for recognition time are presented in Figure 2. The one-way within subjects ANOVA revealed a significant difference in recognition time related to various emotional states $F(5,580) = 73.14, p = .001, \eta^2 = .38$.

Table 3- The one-way within subjects ANOVA for recognition time

Source	SS	DF	MS	F	Sig	η^2
Within subjects	151576981.9	5	30315396.38	73.141	.001	.38
Error	240397023.4	580	414477.62			

Further post-hoc t-test analyses showed that only the recognition time related to happiness and anger emotional states did not differ significantly, $t(112) = 1.79, p = .07, d = .16$. These results suggested that different emotional states have different recognition time.

Figure 2- Response time for correct recognition in milli second

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the patterns of emotion recognition among the Iranian population by using the CANTAB as a valid computer-based neuropsychological assessment (CBNA). Our findings are in two categories, the percentage of correct emotion that is recognised, and the reaction time in millisecond unite for responding correctly to each six basic emotional states (disgust, sadness, happiness, surprise, anger, and fear). The results of the present study showed that participants could better identify the emotion of happiness with 75.83% correct response and in the following, sadness 70% correct response and surprise 68.48% correct response, in comparison to the emotions of disgust with 47.84% correct response and anger 42.54% and fear with 38.26% of the correct response. Happiness has the most percent of correct recognition emotion, while fear was the least correctly recognised emotion. Regarding the response time for emotion recognition, disgust had the shortest recognition time with the mean 322ms. The sadness mean time was 1800ms and was the longest time for emotion recognition. Other emotion recognition times was, surprise for 966ms, anger for 1187ms, happiness for 1264ms and fear for 1529ms. Therefore, it can be concluded that fear has the lowest correct recognition with the highest recognition time and could be considered a complicated emotion for Iranians to recognise. Happiness was the most emotion that recognised correctly with the short response time. Therefore, it could be considered as an easy emotion for Iranian people to recognise.

The findings related to emotion recognition were in line with Fritz et al. (2009). Elfenbein and Ambady (2002) in a meta-analysis study with 87 articles included 22,148 participants, showed that the emotion recognition is different in various societies. Moreover, Americans were better at judging happiness in comparison with disgust. The same study found that the Japanese were better at feeling the emotion of disgust in comparison with Americans (Matsumoto et al., 1989). The study of Bihl et al. (1997) showed Caucasians recognise the emotion of fear well. The present study's findings demonstrated that the highest percentage of accuracy in recognising emotion was related to the emotion of happiness, which is in line with the findings of Matsumoto et al. (2000).

To our knowledge, this is the first study comparing emotion recognition among Iranians. Therefore, we cannot compare our results with previous Iranian emotion recognition findings.

This study showed Iranians were better at judging happiness, and fear was the worse emotion for recognition. It could be for the reason of culture and societies differences that we discussed previously. In neuroscience perspective, as we told in the introduction, the amygdala

activity is the most activity that we will see in face emotion recognition, especially in recognition of fear; weakness of recognition of the fear in Iranian people could be related to specificity in the amygdala activity. In sum, the mean correct emotion recognition was 57.15%, which means near 42% error in emotion recognition; it can be assumed that Iranians might be weak at judging emotions. In addition, the findings of this study can be used for emotion recognition tests in the case of people who might have difficulties in the field of emotion recognition, such as people who suffer from autism, schizophrenia and other similar disorders. Finally, the findings might be beneficial to staff members of companies in order to determine others emotions.

As for the limitations of this study, it could be pointed out that the sample size was rather small. Moreover, the participants were recruited from limited areas in Iran. Therefore, the participants could have been recruited from other parts of the country as well. Research with neuroimaging devices that could indicate the amygdala activity could help study the idea of differential amygdala activity in Iranian people.

References:

- Anderson, C., & Keltner, D. (2002). The role of empathy in the formation and maintenance of social bonds. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 25(1), 21.
- Barnett, J. H., Blackwell, A. D., Sahakian, B. J., & Robbins, T. W. (2015). The paired associates learning (PAL) test: 30 years of CANTAB translational neuroscience from laboratory to bedside in dementia research. *Translational neuropsychopharmacology*, 449-474.
- Biehl, M., Matsumoto, D., Ekman, P., Hearn, V., Heider, K., Kudoh, T., & Ton, V. (1997). Matsumoto and Ekman's Japanese and Caucasian Facial Expressions of Emotion (JACFEE): Reliability data and cross-national differences. *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior*, 21(1), 3-21.
- Chiao, J. Y., Iidaka, T., Gordon, H. L., Nogawa, J., Bar, M., Aminoff, E., ... Ambady, N. (2008). Cultural specificity in amygdala response to fear faces. *Journal of cognitive neuroscience*, 20(12), 2167-2174.
- Cunningham, W. A., Johnson, M. K., Raye, C. L., Gatenby, J. C., Gore, J. C., & Banaji, M. R. (2004). Separable neural components in the processing of black and white faces. *Psychological science*, 15(12), 806-813.
- Ebner, N. C., & Johnson, M. K. (2009). Young and older emotional faces: Are there age group differences in expression identification and memory? *Emotion*, 9(3), 329.
- Elfenbein, H. A., & Ambady, N. (2002). On the universality and cultural specificity of emotion recognition: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128(2), 203.
- Elliott, E. A., & Jacobs, A. M. (2013). Facial expressions, emotions, and sign languages. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4, 115.
- Fritz, T., Jentschke, S., Gosselin, N., Sammler, D., Peretz, I., Turner, R., ... & Koelsch, S. (2009). Universal recognition of three basic emotions in music. *Current Biology*, 19(7), 573-576.
- Hamann, S. B., Ely, T. D., Hoffman, J. M., & Kilts, C. D. (2002). Ecstasy and agony: activation of the human amygdala in positive and negative emotion. *Psychological science*, 13(2), 135-141.
- Izard, C. E. (2009). Emotion theory and research: Highlights, unanswered questions, and emerging issues. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 1-25.

- Jack, R. E., Garrod, O. G., Yu, H., Caldara, R., & Schyns, P. G. (2012). Facial expressions of emotion are not culturally universal. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(19), 7241-7244.
- Juckel, G., Heinisch, C., Welpinghus, A., & Brüne, M. (2018). Understanding Another Person's Emotions—An Interdisciplinary Research Approach. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9, 414.
- Kirouac, G., & Doré, F. Y. (1983). Accuracy and latency of judgment of facial expressions of emotions. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 57(3), 683-686.
- Lim, N. (2016). Cultural differences in emotion: differences in emotional arousal level between the East and the West. *Integrative Medicine Research*, 5(2), 105-109.
- Matsumoto, D., LeRoux, J., Wilson-Cohn, C., Raroque, J., Kookan, K., Ekman, P., ... & Goh, A. (2000). A new test to measure emotion recognition ability: Matsumoto and Ekman's Japanese and Caucasian Brief Affect Recognition Test (JACBART). *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior*, 24(3), 179-209.
- Preston, S. D., & De Waal, F. B. (2002). Empathy: Its ultimate and proximate bases. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 25(1), 1-20.
- Rahmani, J. (2008). The reliability and validity of raven's progressive matrices test among the students of Azad Khorasgan University.
- Raven, J. (2000). The Raven's progressive matrices: change and stability over culture and time. *Cognitive Psychology*, 41(1), 1-48.
- Robbins, T. W., James, M., Owen, A. M., Sahakian, B. J., McInnes, L., & Rabbitt, P. (1994). Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB): a factor analytic study of a large sample of normal elderly volunteers. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 5(5), 266-281.
- Varghese, A. A., Cherian, J. P., & Kizhakkethottam, J. J. (2015). *Overview on emotion recognition system. Paper presented at the 2015 international conference on soft-computing and networks security (ICSNS).*
- Wickline, V. B., Bailey, W., & Nowicki, S. (2009). Cultural in-group advantage: Emotion recognition in African American and European American faces and voices. *The Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 170(1), 5-30.