The Effect of Acute Intra Locus Coeruleus (LC) Microinfusion of Bupropion on Formalin-Induced Pain Behavior in Rat

Marzieh Jahanbani 1, Sima Nasri 1, Firouz Ghaderi Pakdel 2,3,*, Ulker Cankurt 4, Parviz Shahabi 5, Sanaz Amirabadi 3, Somayyeh Naderi 6, Mostafa Ashrafi Osalou 4

1. Department of Biology, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran.
2. Neurophysiology Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran.
3. Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran
4. Department of Histology & Embryology, School of Medicine, Dokuz Eylul University (DEU).
5. Neuroscience Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.
6. Pakdel Research Lab, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran.

A B S T R A C T

Introduction: Inflammatory pain is a common sign of chronic diseases. Some brain regions such as locus coeruleus (LC) of the brainstem nor-epinephrine (NE) system have a key role in the mechanisms of the pain modulation and dependence. Bupropion synthesized as an antidepressant, but it is used for smoking cessation. It can change morphine withdrawal signs such as pain-related behaviors. This study tested the acute effect of intra-LC microinfusion of bupropion on the formalin-induced pain behavior in rats.

Methods: Wistar male rats were divided into 6 groups (control-naïve, control-operated, sham-operated, and 3 treated groups with 10⁻², 10⁻³, 10⁻⁴ mol/μl intra-LC of bupropion). The injection guide cannulae were implanted into LC nuclei bilaterally by stereotaxic coordinated surgery under sterile condition. The sham group received normal saline as drug vehicle but control groups had no intra-LC injections. Formalin (50 µl, 2.5%) was injected subcutaneously in the plantar region of the right hindpaw in all animals (30 min after drug administration in treated animals). Nociceptive signs were observed continuously and registered on-line each minute. Common pain scoring was used for pain assessment.

Results: The analysis of data by one-way ANOVA showed that bupropion can reduce pain behavior scores significantly. Bupropion reduced total pain score in the phase 01 (60%) and phase 02 (52%) of maximal behavior compared to the sham group, dose dependently and significantly. The pain scores of controls and sham groups had no significant difference.

Discussion: The results showed that bupropion has analgesic effects on LC neurons and can alter the neurochemical involvement of LC in pain process. Bupropion has different and significant effects on early and late phases of formalin test.
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1. Introduction

Pain is a complex phenomenon with broad mechanisms that is expressed as acute or chronic states. The animal models of pain have developed for the discovery of physiological mechanisms underlying acute and chronic pain in human. The most common animal model for persistent pain is the formalin test in which low concentrations of formalin solution (0.5 to 5%, diluted by 0.9% NaCl) are injected into the dorsal surface of one of the hind paws intradermally (Le Bars, Gozariu, & Cadden, 2001). A four level of painful behavior, flinching/shaking, or the licking/biting of the injected paw is...
assessed in a timeline up to 60 or 90 min (Dubuisson & Dennis, 1977; Tjolsen, Berge, Hunskaar, Rosland, & Hole, 1992). The number of paw licking or twitching per unit of time and cumulative time that is spent for biting/licking the paw can also be used (Sutka, Watson, Nothdurft, & Mogil, 1998; Wheeler-Aceto & Cowan, 1991). In a 45 to 60 minute timescale, the biphasic pain behavior appeared in which a transient early phase is followed by a tonic late phase. The first or initial phase is related to direct peripheral nociceptive afferents but the second phase depends upon prolonged changes in central nervous system function (central sensitization) (Abbadie, Taylor, Peterson, & Basbaum, 1997; Cadet, Aigouy, & Woda, 1995; Huang et al., 2006; Lebrun, Manil, & Colin, 2000; Tjolsen et al., 1992; Vaccarino & Chorney, 1994).

One of the important and well-known neurotransmitter systems involved in pain modulation is the brain monoamine systems. The brain monoamine systems are involved in the neural mechanisms underlying the central regulation of cardiovascular dynamics, the endocrine control and affective behavior, awareness and sleep. The locus coeruleus (LC), as a part of these systems, has several roles in pain modulation. The LC is a crucial component of ascending and descending pain pathways and is a major site for algogenic agents (G. J. Liu & Wang, 1988; Nygren & Olson, 1977), which facilitates the development and maintenance of neuropathic pain and contributes to pain facilitation (Brightwell & Taylor, 2009).

Bupropion can alleviate some withdrawal signs of nicotine and is being used as a smoking cessation agent despite its classification (Ascher et al., 1995; Hays & Ebbert, 2003). The smoking cessation and antidepressive mechanisms of bupropion have not been completely clarified (Balfour, 2001, 2002; Clayton, 2007). Bupropion mainly inhibits nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs), but can also inhibit the synaptic dopamine transporter (DAT) and noradrenalin transporter (NET). Bupropion increases extracellular NE and DA concentrations in the hippocampus of freely moving rats. The combined effect of bupropion in inhibiting these transporters and antagonizing of nAChRs makes it a good pharmaceutical drug for the treatment of depression and smoke cessation (Dwoskin, Raulhut, King-Pospisil, & Bardo, 2006). Recent studies have shown that bupropion can attenuate the brain reward activity in successfully-treated smokers (Weinstein et al., 2009). The evidence showed that bupropion can change the dopamine receptor affinity indirectly or by binding with its residues directly (Bischoff, Bittiger, Krauss, Vassout, & Waldmeier, 1984).

Our recent studies showed that microinjection of bupropion in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) can alter the occurrence and pattern of the pain and opioid withdrawal behaviors (Ghaderi Pakdel, Naderi, & Zare, 2011). Intra-VTA bupropion can also alter the aggressive behaviors in a non-dose dependent manner (Mokhtari hashtjin, Zare, Ghaderi Pakdel, & Heysieattalab, 2010). The present study was designed to evaluate the effect of intra-LC microinjection of bupropion on formalin-induced pain behaviors in healthy male rats.

2. Methods

All procedures and experiments were approved by the local ethical committee and were in accordance with the guidelines for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals outlined by the Laboratory Animal Center of Urmia University of Medical Sciences. All experimental procedures and protocols were approved by the Urmia Medical Science Research Ethics Committee (UMSREC) and performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The animals were housed in groups of 5 per cage prior to surgery and singly afterwards. Animals were housed at 12h light/dark cycle (7:00am-7:00pm) and controlled temperature (22±2 °C) with food and water ad libitum. All experiments were done after surgery recovery and adaptation (10 days).

2.1. Animal Preparation and Stereotaxic Brain Cannulation

Wistar healthy adult male rats (Pasteur Institute, Tehran-Iran, weighing 230-280 g) were divided into 6 groups: control-naive, control-operated, sham-operated, and 3 groups with the microinfusion of bupropion (10⁻², 10⁻³, and 10⁻⁴ mol, 1 μl, intra-LC, n=8 in each group). The rats were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine and xylazine (80mg/kg and 10mg/kg respectively) and were secured in a stereotaxic frame (Stoelting, USA). The 25-gauge stainless steel guide cannulae (SUPA, Iran) were implanted into the LC bilaterally (AP= -0.6, DV= 6.2, ML= ±1.3 mm with respect to the bregma zero-zero plate and interaural axis) according to Paxinos and Watson rat brain stereotaxic atlas under sterile condition (Paxinos & Watson, 2005). Cannulae were secured on the skull with screws and the dental cement (Acropars, Marlic, Iran). The control-naive and control-operated groups had no intra-LC injections. The control-naive group received normal sterile saline but the control-operated group received formalin in the dorsal surface of the right hind paws. The sham-operated group received an intra-LC drug vehicle and formalin in the right hind paw. The tips of the guide cannulae were located near
the top surface of the LC and were blocked by obturators until drug injection.

2.2. Drugs, Materials and Chemicals

Drugs and chemicals which were used in this study include: bupropion, formalin, Brilliant Sky Blue, fast cresyl violet (purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA), sodium acetate and sodium chloride (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), ketamine (Parke-Davies, Freiburg, Germany), xylazine (Kepro B.V., The Netherlands), polyethylene microtubes (A-M system, USA), Hamilton microsyringes (Hamilton Bonaduz AG, Switzerland).

2.3. Formalin Test

After acclimation (30 min) each rat received 50µl of formalin solution (2.5% in normal saline) subcutaneously into the dorsal surface of the right hind paw using a microsyringe with a 26-gauge needle. In an open Plexiglas observation chamber (30×30×30cm), the pain score of the injected paw was acquired online by an observer. A mirror was placed at an angle of 45° under the transparent floor to clear observation. The Dubuisson and Dennis procedure of the pain rating method was applied (Dubuisson & Dennis, 1977). The score of four nociceptive behavior categories was registered each minute up to 90 min. Briefly, in this method the categories were: 0= normal behavior of the hind limbs to support the body; 1= slight touching of the injected paw on the glass surface to lightly support or not support the body; 2= total withdrawal of the injected paw; and 3= licking, biting or shaking of the injected paw (Dubuisson & Dennis, 1977). In this research the data between 0 and 10 min after the formalin injection were represented as phase one (early phase) and between 16 and 90 min were represented as phase two (late phase). In this study the pain score was recorded and expressed in 5-minute bins. In the bupropion microinjected groups, the formalin test was done 30 min after intra-LC bupropion administration. All the animals were used only once and experiments were carried out at the same time of the day (09.00 to 14.00).

2.4. Drug Microinjection

All the animals were adapted to the restraint devices. For microinjection in the intra-LC in the rat which was awake the animal was gently restrained, and 30-G injection cannula was inserted into the implanted guide cannulae. The injection cannula was longer by 1.0 mm from the tip of the guide cannula within the brain. The external tip of the injection cannula was connected to Hamilton micro syringes via a polyethylene microtube. 1.0µl of the solution was microinjected over 1-2 min via the injection cannula during an experiment which was left for 3.00 min in place to allow the diffusion of the drug and to minimize the backflow along the cannulae tracts.

**Figure 1.** The mean of the formalin-induced pain scores in 5 min bin time blocks during phase 01 (0-10 min) and phase 02 (16-90 min) in the control-operated (n=8), sham-operated (n=12) and 10^-6, 10^-5, 10^-4 mol of intra-LC bupropion groups (n=8 in each group). The drug or vehicle was microinfused bilaterally in Locus Coeruleus 30 min before the formalin injection. Values are the means ± SEM. The data of the control and sham-operated groups had no significant difference.
2.5. Histological Verification

To evaluate the diffused region of the drug and histological verification, at the end of the experiments all the animals received 1μl of Brilliant Sky Blue (dissolved in 0.5M sodium acetate). The animals were deeply anesthetized, killed and the brains were removed and fixed in the 10% phosphate buffered formalin solution. Coronal 40μm sections were taken on a microtome (SLEE, London) and stained with cresyl violet. The trajectory path and location of guide cannulae tips were observed under light microscopy for verification. The misinjected rats were excluded from the analysis.

2.6. Data Analysis

The data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the post-hoc Tukey’s test. The statistical significance was \( p<0.05 \). Results are expressed as the means ± SEM. The GB-Stat ver. 5.0 statistical software was used for statistical analysis and Microsoft Excel ver. 2003 was used for the graphical presentation of the data.

3. Results

The analysis of the pain score between control-naive, control-operated and sham-operated groups showed that there were no significant differences between their
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**Figure 2.** The mean of formalin-induced pain scores (part A is cumulative absolute and part B is percentage) in the total duration of phase 01 (0-10 min) and phase 02 (16-90 min) in control operated (n=8), sham-operated (n=12) and 10-4, 10-3, 10-2 mol of intra-LC bupropion groups (n=8 in each group). The drug or vehicle was microinfused bilaterally in Locus Coeruleus 30 min before the formalin injection. The sham-operated values indicated as %100 in part B. Values are the means ± SEM.

(Repeated-measure one-way ANOVA.* \( p<0.05 \), ** \( p<0.01 \), and *** \( p<0.001 \))
3.1. Bilateral Intra-LC Microinjection of Bupropion Decreases the Formalin-Induced Nociceptive Score

Figure 1 shows the pain scores in the sham-operated and 3 doses of bupropion that were microinjected intra-LC. The data analysis using repeated-measure one-way ANOVA showed a significant difference between the formalin-induced pain behavior within bupropion microinjected and the sham-operated groups. The microinjection of bupropion (10⁻², 10⁻³, and 10⁻⁴ mol) in the LC decreased the pain score in phase 01 and 02 significant and dose dependently.

3.2. The Effect of Intra-LC Microinjection of Bupropion on the Average of the Formalin-Induced Pain Score

Figure 2-A shows the effect of intra-LC bupropion on average of the formalin-induced pain scores in all groups. The analysis of the average of the pain scores in phase 01 showed that the pain scores between the groups were significantly different (F⁷, 3⁶ = 55.20372, p<0.001). Tukey’s protected post hoc t-test showed that there is no significant difference between the pain scores of the sham and dose 10⁻⁴ mol of intra-LC of the bupropion.

Figure 2-B shows the effect of intra-LC bupropion on the average percent of the formalin-induced pain score in phase 01 and 02. In phase 01 and phase 02, intra-LC microinjection of bupropion can decrease pain score percentages which are dose dependent. The analysis of data showed that, intra-LC of bupropion microinfusion decreased the percentage of pain score up to 60% in phase 01 and 58% in phase 02 in comparison with the sham-operated group scores.

3.3. The Effect of Intra-LC Microinjection of Bupropion on Licking/Biting Duration

Figure 3 shows the hindpaw licking/biting duration curve in control-operated, the sham-operated and different doses of intra-LC microinjected bupropion. The curve indicates that the licking/biting time duration of the hindpaw with the formalin injection is different in the intra-LC bupropion microinjected according to the control and sham-operated groups. The analysis of licking/biting duration in 5-minute time blocks by repeated-measured one-way ANOVA showed that there is no significant difference between the control and sham-operated groups but the duration of licking/biting duration

Figure 3. The mean of formalin-induced licking/biting duration in 5 min time blocks during phase 01 (0-10 min) and phase 02 (16-60 min) in the control-operated (n=8), sham-operated (n=12) and 10⁻², 10⁻³, 10⁻⁴ mol of intra-LC bupropion groups (n=8 in each group). The drug or vehicle was microinfused bilaterally in Locus Coeruleus 30 min before the formalin injection. Values are means ± SEM. The data of the control and sham-operated groups had no significance.
decreased in intra-LC microinjected bupropion groups. The point-to-point analysis of 5-minute time blocks showed that there is a significant difference in licking/biting duration among all time blocks of intra-LC bupropion microinjected groups except the last blocks.

Figure 4 shows the cumulative means of licking/biting duration of the formalin injected hindpaw in phases 01 and 02 in all groups. There was no difference between the control and sham-operated groups but the groups with intra-LC microinjection of bupropion showed a significant difference between themselves and the control/sham groups. Bupropion decreased the licking/biting duration dose dependently. The difference of cumulative means of licking/biting duration is markedly decreased in the second phase of the formalin test.

3.4. The Effect of Intra-LC Microinjection of Bupropion on Appearance of Maximum Pain Score and Time of Licking/Biting Behavior

The appearance of the maximum pain score and licking/biting time duration in the animal groups in the second phase revealed that there is a significant difference. Figure 5 shows the time of the appearance of the maximum pain and the licking/biting time. This figure shows the microinjection of bupropion in the LC nuclei can prolong the appearance of pain behavior. The licking/biting appearance time was prolonged by doses of $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-4}$ but appeared very earlier than the one $10^{-2}$ mol of bupropion. The differences were statistically significant.

The overall results showed that intra-LC microinjection of bupropion can alleviate the formalin-induced persistent pain behavior. The decrease of pain behavior is dose-dependent.

4. Discussion

Antidepressants have been used as the pain reliever for over 40 years. Although the mechanism of the action of antidepressants leads to an increase in the availability of NE and/or serotonin, the mechanism of the action underlying their analgesic effects remains unknown. The direct and indirect effects of antidepressants on other neurotransmission systems such as the opioid system have also been proposed. The present study demonstrated that intra-LC microinjection of bupropion can decrease the formalin-induced pain behavior. The pain killing effects of antidepressants have been totally studied and reviewed (Thaler et al., 2012), but the antinociceptive mechanisms of antidepressants are not well known. The formalin-induced pain is very similar to the chronic pain in human. The neuropathic pain is a clinical chronic pain and the sustained application of bupropion in patients with neuropathic pain can relieve it significantly (Semenchuk, Sherman, & Davis, 2001; Shah & Moradimehr, 2010). The serotonin, dopamine and noradrenaline reuptake inhibition is the common mechanism for the antinociception actions of antidepressants with different efficacy and sensitivity. It seems that the pain relief of antidepressants may be achieved at doses lower than those used in the treatment of depression and depression.

Figure 4. The mean percent of formalin-induced licking/biting duration in phase 01 (0-10 min) and phase 02 (16-60 min) in the control operated (n=8), sham-operated (n=12) and $10^{-4}$, $10^{-3}$, $10^{-2}$ mol of intra-LC bupropion groups (n=8 in each group). The drug or vehicle was microinfused bilaterally in Locus Coeruleus 30 min before the formalin injection. The sham-operated values indicated as %100 in each phase. Values are the means ± SEM. (Repeated-measure one-way ANOVA.* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, and *** p<0.001)
with a different mechanism (Gallagher, 2006; Jackson & St Onge, 2003; Sharp & Keffe, 2005). Therefore, the most common mechanism of bupropion is an inhibition of noradrenaline and dopamine reuptake. Along with bupropion, some other antidepressants also have an antinociceptive effect (Hawley, 2009; Miller & Rabe-Jablonska, 2005; Sansone & Sansone, 2008). The antinociceptive action of antidepressants in acute pain of the animal models has not provided strong evidence to be used for human clinical pain (Blackburn-Munro, 2004). On the other hand, many antidepressants are effective in the treatment of chronic pain with different degrees. Tricyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) are the most-studied antidepressants for the treatment of chronic pain such as neuropathic pain (Jackson & St Onge, 2003). Compared to TCAs, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are less effective in the management of neuropathic pain (Colombo, Annovazzi, & Comi, 2006; Gallagher, 2006; Sullivan & Robinson, 2006). In a double-blind crossover study of patients with various forms of neuropathic pain, at doses of 300 mg per day, bupropion SR was similar in efficacy to TCAs (Wolfe & Trivedi, 2004). In a chronic neuropathic animal model of pain, bupropion, as a combined reuptake inhibitor of dopamine and noradrenaline, showed greater anti-nociceptive effect than a single action antidepressant such as reboxetine (Pedersen, Nielsen, & Blackburn-Munro, 2005). A recent study on bupropion nociceptive effect showed a surprisingly high efficacy of this drug in peripheral neuropathic pain (Semenchuk et al., 2001).

The monoaminergic system is the predominant biological substrate related to both depression and pain. Its key role belongs to serotonin and noradrenaline (Gormsen, Jensen, Bach, & Rosenberg, 2006; Robinson et al., 2009).

The Locus Coeruleus as A5, A6 aminegenic cell group is a major source of noradrenergic projections to the dorsal horn and has a well-established role in pain modulation (L. Liu, Tsuruoka, Maeda, Hayashi, & Inoue, 2007; L. Liu et al., 2008; Maeda, Tsuruoka, Hayashi, Nagasawa, & Inoue, 2009; Ossipov, Dussor, & Porreca, ; Voisin, Guy, Chalus, & Dallal, 2005). The pain modulation by LC nucleus is mediated by massive projection to dorsal horn, intermediolateral and also ventral horn of spinal cord (Kwiat & Basbaum, 1992; Nuseir & Proudfit, 2000; Tavares & Lima, 1994; Tavares, Lima, & Coimbra, 1996; Westlund, 1992). The projections and interneurons of spinal dorsal horn receive adrenergic synapses that alpha-2 adrenoceptors control the release of nociceptive primary afferent fibers (Coggeshall & Carlton, 1997; Millan, 1992). Electrical stimulation of LC nucleus elicits robust antinociception (W. L. West, Yeomans, &

**Figure 5.** The mean of the appearance time of the maximum pain score and licking/biting duration in the phase 02 (16-60 min) of the control-operated (n=8), sham-operated (n=12) and 10^{-4}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-2} mol of intra-LC bupropion groups (n=8 in each group). The drug or vehicle was microinfused bilaterally in Locus Coeruleus 30 min before the formalin injection. The sham-operated values indicated as %100. Values are means ± SEM. (Repeated-measure one-way ANOVA.* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, and *** p<0.001)
According to the present data, intra-LC bupropion has same effects on pain processing. It seems that these effects are achieved by NE reuptake inhibition and the increase of the NE in the LC, but the increase of NE in the LC can decrease the firing rate of LC neurons. This is a paradoxical effect, but recent studies of neuronal activity of LC nucleus, adjacent to some of the antidepressants, revealed that they can decrease the firing rate of LC neurons despite their antinociceptive effects (Grant & Weiss, 2001; C. H. West, Ritchie, Boss-Williams, & Weiss, 2009). In contrast to antinociception, LC lesions can reduce tonic behavioral responses to intraplantar formalin injection (Martin, Gupta, Loo, Rohde, & Basbaum, 1999; Taylor, Roderick, & Basbaum, 2000). These data showed that LC plays a complex role in nociception.

It is supposed that the elevation of NE in the spinal cord causes nociception, but its elevation in the LC nucleus causes anti-nociception by decreasing the NE secretion via alpha-2 autoreceptors. The present results showed that intra-LC bupropion can decrease the nociceptive responses despite its action on NE reuptake inhibition. Many researches have concluded that LC neuronal firing rate can increase in pain modulation via neuronal pathway to inhibit central pain nuclei (L. Liu et al., 2007; Tsuruoka, Arai, Nomura, Matsutani, & Willis, 2003; Tsuruoka, Matsutani, Maeda, & Inoue, 2003). Sustained bupropion administration (s.c.) produces a dose-dependent attenuation of the mean spontaneous firing of LC neurons (7.5 mg/kg per day: 15%; 15 mg/kg per day: 61%; 30 mg/kg per day: 80%). This attenuation is reversed by alpha 2-adrenoceptor antagonist idazoxan. Sustained bupropion administration decreased the firing rate of NE neurons due to an increased activation of their inhibitory somatodendritic alpha 2-adrenoceptors. This effect of the bupropion treatment would be attributable mainly to an enhancement of NE release and not to reuptake inhibition (Dong & Blier, 2001).

Although several researches have showed that the neuronal firing rate of LC neurons enhances in acute pain (tail pinch, footshock, heat) (Aston-Jones & Bloom, 1981; Cedarbaum & Aghajanian, 1978; Hajs, Engberg, & Elami, 1986) and chronic pain (Chapman, Suzuki, & Dickinson, 1998; Pertovaara, Kontinen, & Kalso, 1997; Visanen & Pertovaara, 2007), Alba-Delgado and coworkers have showed that the LC neuronal firing rate did not change in Chronic Constriction Injury (CCI) as a model of neuropathic pain (Alba-Delgado et al., 2012).

On the other hand, NE reuptake inhibitor desipramine, elevates endogenous NE and attenuates the firing rate of LC neurons (C. H. West et al., 2009). Rosenberg et al. studied the pain-stimulated and pain-depressed behaviors of various antidepressants. The results of this study suggest the application of some DA/NE/5-HT reuptake inhibitors as antinociceptive agents in some circumstances (Rosenberg, Carroll, & Negus, 2013).

Projection of LC to spinal cord (descending pain pathway), exerts inhibitory influences on pain threshold. Furthermore, projections from LC nucleus to spinal cord control the release of serotonin and adrenaline at the level of the spinal cord. As a general rule, when these monoamines increase in synaptic cleft within the spinal cord there is a decrease in the pain threshold. However, it should be noted that serotonin can both dampen and enhance the sensation of pain, depending on the receptor subtypes activated. On the other hand, antidepressants are the most effective treatment to deal with chronic pain of diverse origins, with or without co-existing depression (Campbell, Clauw, & Keefe, 2003; Mico, Berrocoso, Ortega-Alvaro, Gibert-Rahola, & Rojas-Corrales, 2006). At the supraspinal level, these compounds increase NE and 5-HT levels in the synapse while simultaneously enhancing the activity of the descending inhibitory bulbospinal pathways, thereby producing analgesia.

Another mechanism that is involved in analgesic effects of antidepressants is the activation of the opioid system. The opioid and monoaminergic systems appear to share common molecular mechanisms in mediating nociception (Berrocoso & Mico, 2009; Berrocoso, Sanchez-Blazquez, Garzon, & Mico, 2009). Administration of antidepressants increases opioid receptor density in brain areas, hence the analgesic effects appear dominantly (Ortega-Alvaro et al., 2004). The increase of the opioid receptor depends on treatment duration, dose, and the brain region (Baamonde et al., 1992).

In addition to the monoaminergic and opioid systems, other lesser-known mechanisms have been proposed. There is some evidence in support of the involvement of ionic channels (such as calcium, potassium, and sodium) and neurotransmitter receptors (gamma-aminobutyric acid or GABA, N-methyl-D-aspartate, or NMDA, nicotinic AcetylCholine, or nACh and substance P) in the analgesic mechanism of antidepressants (Antkiewicz-Michalu, Romanska, Michaluk, & Vetulani, 1991; Galeotti, Ghelardini, & Bartolini, 2001; Pandhare et al., 2012).

The results of this study suggest that the acute inhibition of NE transportation in the vicinity of the LC neu-
rons increases the concentration of the NE in the LC. Although the increase of the NE in the LC can decrease the firing rate of the LC neurons, the projection of LC to other brain regions can contribute to analgesic action of bupropion.
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